arXiv:1402.4632v1 [math.PR] 19 Feb 2014

Systematic co-occurrence of tail correlation functions among max-stable processes

Kirstin Strokorb[*], Felix Ballani[†], Martin Schlather[‡]

February 20, 2014

Abstract

The tail correlation function (TCF) is one of the most popular bivariate extremal dependence measures that has entered the literature under various names. We study to what extent the TCF can distinguish between different classes of well-known max-stable processes and identify essentially different processes sharing the same TCF.

Keywords: Brown-Resnick process, completely monotone, extremal coefficient, Extremal Gaussian process, max-stable process, Mixed Moving Maxima, Poisson storm process, positive definite, tail correlation function, tail dependence coefficient
2010 MSC: Primary 60G70;
Secondary 60G60

1 Introduction

The *tail correlation function (TCF)* χ of a stationary process X on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is defined through

$$\chi(t) := \lim_{\tau \uparrow \tau_0} \mathbb{P}(X_t \geq \tau \mid X_o \geq \tau), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

provided the limit exists. Here, τ_0 is the upper endpoint of the univariate marginal distribution and χ does not depend on the choice of one-dimensional marginals. Dating back to [14, 43, 49] the TCF is one of the most popular bivariate extremal dependence measures that has entered the literature under various names, most prominently *(upper) tail dependence coefficient* [1, 8, 12], χ*-measure* [1, 5] or *extremal coefficient function* [13], since the value $\theta(t) = 2 - \chi(t)$ is called the *extremal coefficient* for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. As our choice for the name suggests, the tail correlation function χ is a symmetric positive definite function. It was proposed as an extreme value analogue to the correlation function [40] and is generally considered an appropriate summary statistic for extremal behaviour of stationary processes, cf. [1, 5, 8, 12, 13] among many others. Since $\eta := 1 - \chi$ satisfies

[*]Institute of Mathematics, University of Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Email: strokorb@math.uni-mannheim.de

[†]Institute of Stochastics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, D-09596 Freiberg, Email: ballani@math.tu-freiberg.de

[‡]Institute of Mathematics, University of Mannheim, D-68131 Mannheim, Email: schlather@math.uni-mannheim.de

the triangle inequality $\eta(s \pm t) \leq \eta(s) + \eta(t)$, the TCF χ cannot be differentiable except when χ is constant [28, 40]. Estimators can be found for instance in [44] (raw estimates) or [6, 34, 40].

Here, we explore for the first time to what extent the TCF can distinguish between different classes of max-stable processes. In fact, we identify practically relevant, but essentially different, stationary max-stable processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ sharing the same TCF (Section 3). The focus lies on stationary max-stable processes, and particular emphasis is put on radially symmetric TCFs that are monotonously decreasing as the radius grows.

The text is structured as follows: After the introductory Section 1, where some notation is fixed, Section 2 gives an overview over well-known classes of stationary max-stable processes. The main contribution is Section 3, where we compare the TCFs of these classes and identify systematic co-occurrences. Section 4 complements Section 3 in that it provides counterexamples of TCFs that cannot arise from certain classes of processes. Thereby, we transfer two well-known operations from Geostatistics to the class of TCFs. The text closes with a short Section 5 on parametric families of TCFs with sharp parameter bounds for being a TCF. All proofs are postponed to Section 6.

Some notation By $a \wedge b$ we denote the minimum between two quantities a and b, whereas $\bigvee_{i \in I} a_i$ is the supremum over the a_i. The function $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of A. The expression ν_d stands for the Lebesgue measure on the Borel σ-algebra $\mathcal{B}^d$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We denote

$$B_r^d := \{h \in \mathbb{R}^d \,:\, \|h\| \leq r\}$$

the d-dimensional ball of radius r centred at the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The constant

$$\kappa_d := \nu_d(B_1^d) = \pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(1 + d/2)$$

is the volume of the d-dimensional unit ball. When a function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ depends on the radius (Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$) only, we will usually treat it as a function on $[0, \infty)$. The expression cdf abbreviates "cumulative distribution function". When we treat a cdf G on $(0, \infty)$, it is always meant that $G(0+) = 0$. Usually $G(0+) = c \in [0, 1]$ also yields admissible models, but will lead to a mixture with trivial components. The function

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-y^2} \, \mathrm{d}y$$

is the complementary error function. We write $\operatorname{erf}(x) := 1 - \operatorname{erfc}(x)$ for the error function.

2 Max-stable processes

A stochastic process $X = \{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called *max-stable* if all its finite-dimensional distributions are max-stable, that is, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1, \ldots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and n independent copies $(Y^{(i)})_{i=1}^n$ of the random vector $Y := (X_{t_1}, \ldots, X_{t_m})$ we have

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n Y^{(i)} \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} a_n Y + b_n$$

for suitable norming sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $a_n > 0$. All operations here are meant componentwise, and $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}$ means equality in distribution. In what follows, we will consider *stationary* max-stable processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Since non-degenerate one-dimensional marginal distributions will be considered only, we henceforth restrict ourselves to *standard Fréchet marginals*, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(X_t \leq x) = e^{-1/x}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x > 0$ (cf. [37]), whereas plots of simulated processes will be always transformed to *standard Gumbel marginals*, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(X_t \leq x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It has been shown (cf. [9, 22, 45]) that max-stable processes that are separable in probability allow for a *spectral representation* of the following form

$$\{X_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d} \overset{\mathcal{D}}{=} \left\{ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_t(\omega_n) \right\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}. \tag{1}$$

Here, (U_n, ω_n) denotes an (enumerated) Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ with intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u \times \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ for some measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$, and $V_t : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is measurable with $\int_\Omega V_t(\omega)\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega) = 1$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The functions $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ are called *spectral functions*. Of course, any process X of the form (1) is max-stable and has standard Fréchet marginals. In terms of a spectral representation the finite-dimensional distributions of X are given through

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(X(t_k) \leq x_k;\, k = 1, \ldots, m) = \int_\Omega \bigvee_{k=1}^{m} \frac{V_{t_k}(\omega)}{x_k}\, \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega) \tag{2}$$

and the TCF χ of the max-stable process X may be expressed as

$$\chi(t) := \int_\Omega V_t(\omega) \wedge V_o(\omega)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega). \tag{3}$$

If the measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ is a probability space, the spectral functions $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ themselves form a stochastic process on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which we will call *spectral process*. It is convenient then to interpret the expression $\{V(\omega_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the spectral representation (1) as i.i.d. sequence $V^{(n)}$ of a process $V = \{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that is independent of the Poisson point process $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

2.1 Examples of stationary max-stable processes

The following processes X on $\mathbb{R}^d$ are stationary and max-stable. They have either been proposed in previous literature or constitute modifications or extensions of those. Note that the stationarity of the spectral process V is a sufficient but not a necessary condition for X being stationary (cf. [24, 32]).

(Mixed) Moving Maxima (M3/M2) and subclasses (M3r, M2r and M3b) Slightly different notions are given in the literature, cf. [25, 38, 44, 45, 46], for example. We consider the following normalized version: Let $\{f(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with values in $[0, \infty]$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}_f \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)\mathrm{d}t \right) = 1. \tag{4}$$

As in [11, 35] we refer to $f \in \mathbb{F}$ as *(random) shape function* or *(random) storm*. Further, we consider the measure space

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu) = (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{F}, \mathcal{B}^d \otimes \mathcal{F}, \nu_d \times \mathbb{P}_f),$$

where $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}_f)$ stands for the law of the random shape function f. Then we call the process X with spectral representation (1) given by $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \nu)$ and spectral functions

$$V_t\left((z, f)\right) := f(t - z), \qquad (z, f) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{F}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

Mixed Moving Maxima process (M3 process), or *Moving Maxima process (M2 process)* if f is deterministic, respectively.

We put particular emphasis on such random storms, where each realization of a random shape $f \geq 0$ is *radially symmetric* around the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and *non-increasing* as the radius grows, and refer to this class as *M3r processes*, or *M2r processes* if f is deterministic, respectively. Moreover, we will also consider the subclass of *M3b processes* where the M3 process has as shape functions only *normalized indicator functions of balls* B_R^d, i.e.

$$f(t) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_R^d}(t)}{\nu_d(B_R^d)} = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_R^d}(t)}{\kappa_d R^d}$$

with a random radius $R \in (0, \infty)$. Clearly, M3r, M2r and M3b processes are stationary and isotropic.

Mixed Poisson storm processes (MPS) Here, we consider a mixed version of the Poisson storm process introduced in [26]. Before we define the process, let us make some preliminary considerations (with terminology from stochastic geometry based on [42]). If C is the *typical cell* of a stationary isotropic *Poisson hyperplane mosaic* of *intensity* 1 and $\beta > 0$, then $\beta^{-1}C = \{x : \beta x \in C\}$ is distributed like the typical cell corresponding to the intensity β and has expected volume

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\nu_d\left(\beta^{-1}C\right)\right) = \frac{d^d \kappa_d^{d-1}}{\kappa_{d-1}^d \beta^d} =: \frac{1}{\mu_d(\beta)} \tag{5}$$

(cf. [42, (10.4) and (10.4.6)]). Note that our notion of intensity β is based on [42, pp. 497 and p. 126] and corresponds to the choice $\lambda = \beta\kappa_{d-1}/(d\kappa_d)$ with λ as in [26, p. 420].

Now, let $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ be a random variable distributed according to a distribution function F on $(0, \infty)$ (with $F(0+) = 0$). Let C be the typical cell of a stationary isotropic Poisson hyperplane mosaic of intensity 1 that is independent of β and set

$$f(t) := \mu_d(\beta)\, \mathbf{1}_{\beta^{-1}C}(t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{6}$$

Conditioning on β, one sees that, indeed, f satisfies (4) and, thus, defines an M3 process X with standard Fréchet marginals, which is stationary and isotropic. We call this process *Mixed Poisson storm process (MPS process)* with *intensity mixing distribution* F.

(Variance-mixed) Brown-Resnick processes (BR and VBR) Let $\{W_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a Gaussian process with stationary increments (meaning that the law of $\{W_{t+h}-W_h\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ does not depend on $h\in\mathbb{R}^d$) and variance $\sigma^2(t)=\mathrm{Var}(W_t)$. Then we call the process X defined through the spectral process

$$V_t=\exp\left(W_t-\frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2}\right),\qquad t\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

Brown-Resnick process (BR process). The process X is stationary and its law depends on the variogram $\gamma(t)=\mathbb{E}(W_t-W_o)^2$ only [24, Theorem 2].

We will also consider a mixture of BR processes with respect to the variance of the involved Gaussian process. As in the construction of BR processes let $\{W_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a Gaussian process with stationary increments and variance $\sigma^2(t)$. Additionally, let S be an independent random variable on $(0,\infty)$ with distribution function G (with $G(0+)=0$). Then we call the process X with spectral process

$$V_t=\exp\left(SW_t-\frac{S^2\sigma^2(t)}{2}\right),\qquad t\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

variance-mixed Brown-Resnick process with *variance mixing distribution* G. The law of X is also stationary and it depends on the variogram $\gamma(t)=\mathbb{E}(W_t-W_o)^2$ and the distribution function G only.

Remark 1. A similar construction can be found in [10], where the BR process is mixed in its scale instead, i.e.

$$V_t=\exp\left(W_{St}-\frac{\sigma^2(St)}{2}\right),\qquad t\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

This yields in fact the same class of processes in the most prominent example when W_t is a fractal Brownian motion and, thus, self-similar, such that the law of $(W_{ct})_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ coincides with the law of $(|c|^{\alpha/2}W_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ for $c\neq 0$ and some $\alpha\in(0,2)$.

Extremal Gaussian and extremal binary Gaussian processes (EG and EBG) Here, we relate to [38, Theorem 2]. Let $Z=\{Z_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a stationary Gaussian process whose marginals follow a standard normal distribution. The correlation function of Z will be denoted by $\rho(t)$. Based on Z, we call the process X defined through the spectral process

$$V_t=\sqrt{2\pi}\cdot(Z_t)_+,\qquad t\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

extremal Gaussian process (EG process) (where $z_+=\max(z,0)$). Secondly, we call the process X with spectral process

$$V_t=2\cdot\mathbf{1}_{\{Z_t>0\}},\qquad t\in\mathbb{R}^d, \tag{7}$$

extremal binary Gaussian process (EBG process).

Process model		**Parameter**	**TCF** $\chi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$	**Reference**
M3r	M3 of radial non-increasing shapes	non-increasing random shape $f \geq 0$ on $[0,\infty)$: $\mathbb{E}_f \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$	$\mathbb{E}_f \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\|z\|) \wedge f(\|z-t\|)\,\mathrm{d}z$	Eqn. (3)
M2r	M2 of radial non-increasing shapes	non-increasing determ. shape $f \geq 0$ on $[0,\infty)$: $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\|z\|) \wedge f(\|z-t\|)\,\mathrm{d}z$	
M3b	M3 of ball indicators	random radius R on $(0,\infty)$	$\mathbb{E}_R \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\|z\|\leq R} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\|z-t\|\leq R}}{\kappa_d R^d}\,\mathrm{d}z$	
MPS	Mixed Poisson Storm	cdf F on $(0,\infty)$	$\mathcal{L}(F)\left(\frac{2\kappa_{d-1}}{d\kappa_d}\|t\|\right)$	[26, Prop. 4] with $K = \{o,t\}$
BR	Brown-Resnick	variogram γ	$\mathrm{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\gamma(t)/8}\right)$	[24, Rk. 25]
VBR	Variance-mixed Brown-Resnick	variogram γ, cdf G on $(0,\infty)$	$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{erfc}\left(s\sqrt{\gamma(t)/8}\right)\,\mathrm{d}G(s)$	
EG	extremal Gaussian	correlation ρ	$1 - \sqrt{(1-\rho(t))/2}$	[6, Eqn. (7)]
EBG	extremal binary Gaussian	correlation ρ	$\pi^{-1}\arcsin\rho(t) + 1/2$	[7, Eqn. (10.8.3)] with $u = 0$

Table 1: Tail correlation functions $\chi(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of stationary max-stable processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ from Section 2.1. The process models are grouped according to different long-range dependence. Here $\mathrm{erfc}(x) = 2\pi^{-1/2}\int_x^\infty e^{-y^2}dy$ denotes the complementary error function and $\mathcal{L}(F)(x) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-xt)\mathrm{d}F(t)$ denotes the Laplace transform of the distribution function F.

3 Co-occurrence of tail correlation functions

The TCFs of the max-stable processes from Section 2.1 are listed in Table 1. The formulae are given in the indicated references or can easily be derived from the contributions therein. We want to explore to what extent the TCF can distinguish between these classes of processes. To this end we use the notation

$$T^d_{model} := \left\{ \chi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1] \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \chi \text{ TCF of a process } X \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \\ \text{from the process class } model \end{array} \right\}$$

when referring to the set of TCFs of a certain class of processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For instance, T^d_{M3} is the set of TCFs of M3 processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By

$$T^d := \left\{ \chi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1] \;\middle|\; \chi \text{ TCF on } \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

we simply denote the set of *all* TCFs on $\mathbb{R}^d$. As a first observation we note that

$$T^d_{\mathrm{EG}} \cap T^d_{\mathrm{M3}} = \emptyset \qquad \text{and} \qquad T^d_{\mathrm{EBG}} \cap T^d_{\mathrm{M3}} = \emptyset$$

due to the different behaviour towards long-range dependence. While M3 processes are shown to be mixing [25, 45], and EG and EBG processes feature long-range dependence [25, 50], BR processes may entail both behaviours depending on the variogram. If the variogram defining the BR process tends to ∞ fast enough, a BR process may even be representable as an M3 process [24, Theorem 14]. The different ergodic behaviour is also reflected in the behaviour of the TCF $\chi(t)$ as t tends to ∞ (cf. [23, 50]). Accordingly, we will henceforth treat mixing processes and non-ergodic processes separately.

Absolute and complete monotonicity The subsequent considerations rely on certain monotonicity properties of functions. Therefore, we introduce the following notions in advance [51, Chapter IV]. A real-valued function f is *completely monotone* (resp. *absolutely monotone*) on an interval I if it has derivatives of all orders on the interior $\mathring{I}$ with $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$ (resp. $f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$) for all $x \in \mathring{I}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and if additionally f is continuous at the boundary points of I. In the literature, the focus often lies on the intervals $I = (0, \infty)$ or $I = [0, \infty)$, since completely monotone functions on $[0, \infty)$ are precisely the continuous functions f such that $f(\|\cdot\|^2)$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for all dimensions d. Such functions are characterized as Laplace transforms of non-decreasing functions or, equivalently, positive measures, cf. [51, Theorem 12, Chapter IV] for example.

3.1 Mixing processes

Here, we restrict ourselves to stationary and *isotropic* processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and focus on the subclass of BR and VBR processes that are associated to variograms that are radially symmetric around the origin $o \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and grow *monotonously* to ∞ as the radius grows. Secondly, we involve the M3 processes from Table 1. M2r processes and M3b processes each form a proper subclass of M3r processes. However, their TCFs even coincide in every dimension. We refer to Section 6 for all proofs.

Proposition 2. *a) For all $d \geq 1$ we have $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}$.*

b) In the equality $T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}$ the deterministic shape function f of an M2r process and the distribution function H of $1/R$, where R is the random radius of an M3b process, can be recovered from each other by

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{\kappa_d} \int_0^{1/u} s^d \mathrm{d}H(s) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H(s) = \kappa_d \int_0^s \frac{1}{u^d} \,\mathrm{d}\left[f\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)\right]. \tag{8}$$

In fact, the class T^d_{M3r} is well-known in Geostatistics and has been intensively studied in [17] (therein called H_d). Thus, we can benefit from Gneitings analysis, which is based on [52] and characterizes T^d_{M3r} by monotonicity properties. In particular

$$T^1_{\mathrm{M3r}} = \left\{ \chi : [0,\infty) \to [0,1] \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \chi \text{ continuous, convex,} \\ \chi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \chi(t) = 0 \end{array} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

The precise characterization of T^d_{M3r} for $d \geq 2$ in terms of convexity properties is stated in [17, Theorem 3.1. and 3.3.]. Moreover, [17] gives inversion formulae that we use to recover the defining quantities f and R of the classes T^d_{M2r} and T^d_{M3b}, respectively. The explicit expressions in dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$ are given in Table 2 and derived in Section 6.1 (Proof of Table 2). This is of special interest to us when we want to simulate the corresponding processes for a given TCF χ.

The classes T^d_{M3r} are all nested, i.e. $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} \supset T^{d+1}_{\mathrm{M3r}}$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Gneiting [17] also characterizes the class

$$T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} := \bigcap_{d=1}^{\infty} T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} \tag{10}$$

as scale mixtures of the complementary error function [17, Theorems 3.7 and 3.8]

$$\begin{aligned} T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} &= \left\{ \varphi(t) = \int_{(0,\infty)} \operatorname{erfc}(st) \,\mathrm{d}G(s) \,:\, G \text{ cdf on } (0,\infty) \right\} \qquad (11) \\ &= \left\{ \varphi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \,:\, \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ continuous, } -\varphi'\left(\sqrt{\cdot}\right) \text{ completely monotone on } (0,\infty), \\ \varphi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \varphi(t) = 0 \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which entails the following characterization of TCFs of VBR processes (cf. Table 1):

$$T^d_{\mathrm{VBR}} = \left\{ \varphi\left(\sqrt{\gamma/8}\right) \,:\, \gamma \text{ variogram on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \varphi \in T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} \right\}. \tag{12}$$

In Table 3 we give some examples of corresponding pairs φ and distribution functions G (or probability densities $g = G'$) that we need to know in order to simulate a VBR process with prescribed TCF $\chi = \varphi(\sqrt{\gamma/8})$.

Finally, we observe that in every dimension the class of TCFs arising from MPS processes is given by Laplace transforms of cdfs on $(0,\infty)$ and, thus, coincides with

$$T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}} = \left\{ \psi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R} \,:\, \psi \text{ completely monotone, } \psi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to\infty} \psi(t) = 0 \right\}.$$

In particular, the class T^d_{MPS} does not depend on the specific dimension d, even though the involved factor $2\kappa_{d-1}/(d\kappa_d)$ in Table 1 does. These obervations lead to the following inclusions of the classes of TCFs arising from mixing processes, which are also illustrated in Figure 1.

	$d=1$	$d=2$	$d=3$
$f(u)$	$-\chi'(2u)$	$\frac{4u}{\pi}\int_0^{1/(2u)}((2ut)^{-2}-1)^{1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t)$	$\chi''(2u)/(\pi u)$
$k(s)$	$s\chi''(s)$	$\frac{s^2}{2}\int_0^{1/s}\left((s/t)^2-1\right)^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t)$	$\frac{s}{3}\left(\chi''(s)-s\chi'''(s)\right)$

Table 2: Recovery expressions for the defining quantities of M2r and M3b processes from a given TCF $\chi \in T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}$ in dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$ (cf. Proposition 2): (i) the monotone shape function f of an M2r process and (ii) the density k of $2R$, where R is the random radius that defines an M3b process (if the density k exists). The functions f and k are defined on $(0, \infty)$ where f may have a pole at 0 and k may have other poles as well. We abbreviate $\lambda_\chi(t) := t\chi''(1/t)$. In case $d = 2$ we assume here that even $\chi \in T^5_{\mathrm{M3r}}$ holds in order to eliminate an additional integral.

Distribution function $G(s)$ or $g(s) = G'(s)$	$\varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{erfc}(st)\,\mathrm{d}G(s)$	
$G(s) = e^{-1/(as)^2}$	$e^{-2t/a}$	$0 < a$
$g(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-\nu)}\int_0^s \frac{x^{2\nu-3}e^{-1/(4x^2)}}{(s^2-x^2)^{\nu+1/2}}\,\mathrm{d}x$	$\frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)}t^\nu K_\nu(t)$	$0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$
$G(s) = \mathrm{erf}(as)$	$1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\arctan(t/a)$	$0 < a$
$G(s) = 1 - e^{-(as)^2}$	$1 - \left(1 + (t/a)^{-2}\right)^{-1/2}$	$0 < a$

Table 3: Members of the class T^∞_{M3r} (cf. (10)) and their corresponding distribution function $G(s)$ or probability density $g(s) = G'(s)$ on $(0, \infty)$ as scale mixtures of the complementary error function. As special cases the exponential model, the Whittle-Matérn family, the arctan model and the Dagum model (cf. [3]) appear.

Proposition 3. *The following inclusions hold for all dimensions $d \geq 1$:*

a) $T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}} \subset T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} \subset T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}$.

b) $T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \cup T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} \subset T^d_{\mathrm{VBR}}$.

c) $\mathrm{erfc}(t^\alpha) \in T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}} \cap T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (0, 0.5]$. *In particular* $T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}} \cap T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \neq \emptyset$.

Example 4. We consider the following four processes on $\mathbb{R}^2$:

(i) the BR process on $\mathbb{R}^2$ associated to the variogram

$$\gamma(t) = 8\|t\|, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$



Figure 1: Inclusions and intersection of sets of tail correlation functions arising from mixing max-stable processes as stated in Propositions 2 and 3. Propositions 3 c) and 7 show that the indicated regions are non-empty.



Figure 2: Simulations of different processes on $[0,5]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with identical tail correlation function $\chi(t) = \mathrm{erfc}(\sqrt{\|t\|})$ (see Example 4): Brown-Resnick process (BR), Mixed Poisson Storm process (MPS), two-dimensional section of an M2r process with deterministic shape (M2r), two-dimensional section of an M3b process of normalized ball indicator functions (M3b). The plots were transformed to standard Gumbel marginals.

(ii) the MPS process on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with intensity mixing distribution

$$F(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ 2\pi^{-1} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{2s}{\pi} - 1}\right) & \text{if } s > \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases}$$

(iii) the restriction of the M2r process on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with deterministic shape function

$$f(t) = \frac{1 + 4\|t\|}{(\pi)^{3/2}\|2t\|^{5/2}} e^{-2\|t\|}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

to $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(t_1, t_2, 0) : t \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$,

(iv) the restriction of the M3b process on $\mathbb{R}^3$ where the density k of $2R$ is given by

$$k(s) = \frac{\left(4s^2 + 8s + 5\right) e^{-s}}{12\sqrt{\pi s}}, \qquad s \in [0, \infty)$$

to $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(t_1, t_2, 0) : t \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$.

Then all of these processes on $\mathbb{R}^2$ share the same TCF

$$\chi(t) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\sqrt{\|t\|}\right), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The variogram γ corresponds to Brownian motion. Proposition 3c) ensures that the cdf F exists, and Propositions 3a) and 2 give the existence of f and R. While the recovery of F follows from [20, p. 1100 17.13.5], the quantities f and R are recovered from χ as in Table 2. For ease of simulation we consider only the two-dimensional sections of M2r and M3b processes on $\mathbb{R}^3$ instead of two-dimensional M2r and M3b processes. Figure 2 shows simulations of the BR process and the restricted M2r and M3b processes that were obtained using the R-package RandomFields V3.0 [41].

3.2 Non-ergodic processes

Here, we compare the TCFs of EG, EBG and BR processes. Therefore, we need to consider BR processes associated to bounded variograms on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Such variograms γ are always of the form

$$\gamma(t) = \lambda(1 - \rho(t)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where ρ is a correlation function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $\lambda > 0$ (cf. [18, Section 3.1] or [4, p. 32]). Hence, the TCFs of BR, EG and an EBG processes all depend on a correlation function ρ (cf. Table 1). The ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mathrm{EG}}(t) = \chi_{\mathrm{EBG}}(t) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad 1 - \sqrt{(1 - \rho_{\mathrm{EG}}(t))/2} = \pi^{-1} \arcsin \rho_{\mathrm{EBG}}(t) + 1/2 \\ \chi_{\mathrm{BR}}^{(\lambda)}(t) = \chi_{\mathrm{EG}}(t) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{erfc}\left[\sqrt{\lambda\left(1 - \rho_{\mathrm{BR}}(t)\right)/8}\right] = 1 - \sqrt{(1 - \rho_{\mathrm{EG}}(t))/2} \\ \chi_{\mathrm{BR}}^{(\lambda)}(t) = \chi_{\mathrm{EBG}}(t) \quad &\Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{erfc}\left[\sqrt{\lambda\left(1 - \rho_{\mathrm{BR}}(t)\right)/8}\right] = \pi^{-1} \arcsin \rho_{\mathrm{EBG}}(t) + 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

leads to the question, if or for which $\lambda > 0$ the maps

$$R : [-1,1] \to [-1,1], \qquad R(x) := \cos\left(\pi \sqrt{(1-x)/2}\right) \tag{13}$$

$$S_\lambda : [-1,1] \to [-1,1], \qquad S_\lambda(x) := 1 - 2\left(\operatorname{erf}\left[\sqrt{\lambda\,(1-x)/8}\right]\right)^2 \tag{14}$$

$$T_\lambda : [-1,1] \to [-1,1], \qquad T_\lambda(x) := \cos\left(\pi \operatorname{erf}\left[\sqrt{\lambda\,(1-x)/8}\right]\right) \tag{15}$$

(or its inverses R^{-1}, S_λ^{-1}, T_λ^{-1}) transform correlation functions again into correlation functions.

Proposition 5. *Let $A \in \{R, S_\lambda, T_\lambda\}$, where R, S_λ and $T_\lambda = R \circ S_\lambda$ are the maps from (13),(14) and (15). Then A transforms a correlation function of the form*

$$\rho = (1-\alpha)\rho_0 + \alpha \quad \text{where } \rho_0 \text{ is a correlation function and } \alpha \in [0,1]$$

again into a correlation function if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2} && \text{in case } A = R,\\
&\lambda \leq \frac{8(\operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2}))^2}{1-\alpha} \approx \frac{4.425098}{1-\alpha} && \text{in case } A = S_\lambda,\\
&\lambda \leq \frac{8(\operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1/2))^2}{1-\alpha} \approx \frac{1.8197}{1-\alpha} && \text{in case } A = T_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the systematic intersections of classes of TCFs as illustrated in Figure 3. Note that $T_\lambda = R \circ S_\lambda$ and the upper bound on λ in Proposition 5 for T_λ is smaller than the upper bound for S_λ, such that the transformation T_λ gives rise only to elements in the intersection of all three classes of TCFs.

Example 6. The BR process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated to the variogram

$$\gamma_{\mathrm{BR}}(t) = 1.62(1 - \exp(-\|t\|)), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

the EG process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated to the correlation function

$$\rho_{\mathrm{EG}}(t) = 1 - 2\left(\operatorname{erf}\left[0.45\sqrt{1-\exp(-\|t\|)}\right]\right)^2, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and the EBG process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ associated to the correlation function

$$\rho_{\mathrm{EBG}}(t) = \cos\left(\pi \operatorname{erf}\left[0.45\sqrt{1-\exp(-\|t\|)}\right]\right), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

all share the same TCF

$$\chi(t) = \operatorname{erfc}\left[0.45\sqrt{1-\exp(-\|t\|)}\right], \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Indeed γ_{BR} is a well-known variogram on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. e.g. [18, Section 4]) and Proposition 5 ensures that the functions ρ_{EG} and ρ_{EBG} are correlation functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, such that the respective processes are well-defined. Figure 4 shows simulations of these processes in dimension $d = 2$ that were obtained using the R-package RandomFields V3.0 [41].



Figure 3: Intersections of sets of tail correlation functions χ arising from max-stable processes with long-range dependence as obtained from Proposition 5. The equations in the intersections show that the respective regions are non-empty and how to transform the defining quantities of the respective processes. Here R, S_λ and $T_\lambda = R \circ S_\lambda$ are the maps from (13),(14) and (15).



Figure 4: Simulations of different processes on $[0,5]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with identical tail correlation function $\chi(t) = \mathrm{erfc}(0.45(1-\exp(-\|t\|))^{1/2}))$ (see Example 6): extremal Gaussian process (EG), extremal binary Gaussian process (EBG) and Brown-Resnick process (BR). The plots were transformed to standard Gumbel marginals. Note that simulations of the EBG process are bound to take their maximum value at a high proportion of the total area, cf. their spectral process (7).

4 Counterexamples

While the previous Section 3 is concerned with inclusions and intersections of classes of TCFs, this section provides statements of the form $T^d_{model1} \setminus T^d_{model2} \neq \emptyset$. Exemplarily, we address only mixing processes in this section, cf. Figure 1.

Proposition 7. *We have for all dimensions $d \geq 1$:*

a) $\operatorname{erfc}(t^\alpha) \in T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (0, 1]$. *In particular,* $T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \setminus T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}} \neq \emptyset$.

b) The class T^d_{VBR} does not contain functions with compact support. In particular $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} \setminus T^d_{\mathrm{VBR}} \neq \emptyset$.

There exists a dimension $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $d \geq d_0$

c) $T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}} \setminus T^d_{\mathrm{BR}} \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover, one might get the impression that any continuous radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that is non-increasing and convex on $[0, \infty)$ and that vanishes at ∞ belongs already to the class T^d_{M3r} or at least appears already in Figure 1. This is true for $d = 1$ since T^1_{M3r} comprises all of these functions. The following two operations, however, yield counterexamples for $d \geq 3$, as we shall see in Section 4.3. Firstly, the *turning bands operator* has been inspired by [25] and is well-known in the context of isotropic Gaussian processes. Secondly, the *multiplication with the class* T^d_{M3r} can shorten the range of tail dependence to a compact set. Both operations are derived from construction principles for the corresponding max-stable processes that can be applied to (almost arbitrary) spectral representations.

4.1 Turning bands

The turning bands operator Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq d$. The set of ordered tuples $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ of k orthonormal vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is known as the *Stiefel manifold of orthonormal k-frames in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. e.g. [33, p. 131]) and denoted $V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If we interpret the vectors $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ as columns of a matrix, we identify

$$V_k(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times k} \,:\, A^{\mathrm{T}} A = \mathbb{1}_{k\times k}\}, \tag{16}$$

where A^{T} denotes the transpose of A and $\mathbb{1}_{k\times k}$ the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$. A matrix $A \in V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ embeds $\mathbb{R}^k$ linearly and isometrically into $\mathbb{R}^d$, whereas A^{T} applied to a vector $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^k$ whose coordinates can be interpreted as the coordinates of the projection of t onto $A(\mathbb{R}^k)$ with respect to the orthonormal frame defined by the columns of A. For $k = 1$ the Stiefel manifold is simply the sphere $V_1(\mathbb{R}^d) = S^{d-1}$, and for $k = d$ the orthogonal group $V_d(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathrm{O}(d)$. In view of (16) the Stiefel manifold $V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}$. The action of the orthogononal group $\mathrm{O}(d)$ (from the left) exhibits $V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as a locally compact homogeneous space on which a unique normalized left invariant *Haar measure* σ^d_k can be defined [33, p. 142 Example 4], which we call *uniform distribution* [21, 27].

By $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $C(\mathbb{R}^k)$) we denote the set of real-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^k$). Since $V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compact, the following operator TB^d_k, which we call *turning*

bands operator, is well-defined:

$$\mathrm{TB}_k^d : C(\mathbb{R}^k) \to C(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad \mathrm{TB}_k^d(f)(t) := \int_{V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} f\left(A^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\right)\, \sigma_k^d(\mathrm{d}A).$$

Moreover, it is compatible with compositions (see Lemma 21)

$$\mathrm{TB}_{k_1}^{k_2} \circ \mathrm{TB}_{k_2}^{k_3} = \mathrm{TB}_{k_1}^{k_3} \qquad \text{for } k_1 \le k_2 \le k_3. \tag{17}$$

In the context of Gaussian processes and positive definite functions, the turning bands operator TB_1^d is a familiar operator, see [15, 16, 29, 39, 53], where explicit formulae and recurrence relations are provided. Let Φ_d denote the set of radially symmetric continuous correlation functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then it is well-known that TB_1^d yields a bijection between Φ_1 and Φ_d. In view of (17) this implies that TB_k^d is a bijection between Φ_k and Φ_d. The operator TB_k^d for arbitrary $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \le d$ is usually implicitly addressed as $\mathrm{TB}_1^d \circ (\mathrm{TB}_1^k)^{-1}$ in the references above. Because of these bijections, the *turning bands method* is an important tool for the simulation of stationary isotropic Gaussian processes. In the context of max-stable processes and their TCFs the situation transfers to the following extent.

The turning bands method for max-stable processes Let X be a stochastically continuous simple max-stable process on $\mathbb{R}^k$. Then the process X has a spectral representation as in (1)

$$X_t = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_t(\omega_n), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^k, \tag{18}$$

where (U_n, ω_n) denotes a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ with intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u\,\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)$ and the spectral function $V_t(\omega)$ is *jointly measurable* in the variables $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. Based on this representation we define another simple max-stable process Y on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \ge k$ as follows. Let (U_n, ω_n, A_n) be a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u\,\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\,\sigma_k^d(\mathrm{d}A)$, where $\sigma_k^d(\mathrm{d}A)$ is the uniform distribution on the Stiefel manifold $V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Set

$$Y_t := \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_{A_n^{\mathrm{T}}(t)}(\omega_n), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{19}$$

Then Y is a simple max-stable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the following properties.

Lemma 8. *Let X and Y be simple max-stable processes as given by (18) and (19), respectively.*

a) If X is stationary, then Y is stationary.

b) For any $G \in \mathrm{O}(d)$ the law of $\{Y_{G(t)}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ and the law of Y coincide.

c) Let X be stationary. The (radial) TCF $\chi^{(Y)}$ of the stationary isotropic process Y can be expressed in terms of the TCF $\chi^{(X)}$ of X by

$$\chi^{(Y)} = \mathrm{TB}_k^d(\chi^{(X)}).$$

Proposition 9. *If χ is a continuous TCF on $\mathbb{R}^k$, then $\mathrm{TB}_k^d(\chi)$ is a continuous TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

Remark 10. Contrary to correlation functions, not all radially symmetric continuous TCFs on $\mathbb{R}^d$ arise as $\mathrm{TB}_k^d(\chi)$ for some TCF χ on $\mathbb{R}^k$. As a counterexample consider the identity

$$\exp(-t) = \mathrm{TB}_1^3(f)(t) \qquad \text{with} \qquad f(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(t\exp(-t)\right) = (1-t)\exp(-t)$$

(cf. [39, (2.22)]). While the completely monotone function $\exp(-t)$ is a valid radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^3$, the function f cannot be a TCF on $\mathbb{R}$ since f attains negative values.

Remark 11. The turning bands method is compatible with iterations in the following sense: Let $q \geq d$ and construct a process Z on $\mathbb{R}^q$ from the spectral representation of Y on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$Z_t := \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_{B_n^{\mathrm{T}} \circ A_n^{\mathrm{T}}(t)}(\omega_n) = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_{(A_n \circ B_n)^{\mathrm{T}}(t)}(\omega_n), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^q,$$

where $(U_n, \omega_n, A_n, B_n)$ is a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times V_k(\mathbb{R}^d) \times V_d(\mathbb{R}^q)$ with intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u\,\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\,\sigma_k^d(\mathrm{d}A)\,\sigma_d^q(\mathrm{d}B)$. Then $Z = \{Z_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^q}$ has the same law as

$$\left\{ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n V_{C_n^{\mathrm{T}}(t)}(\omega_n) \right\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^q},$$

where (U_n, ω_n, C_n) is a Poisson point process with intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u\,\nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\,\sigma_k^q(\mathrm{d}C)$ (see Lemma 21). Thus, the process Z can be constructed directly from the spectral representation of X without involving Y as a step in between.

4.2 Multiplication with the class T^d_{M3r}

Let X be a stochastically continuous max-stable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with spectral representation as in (18) with $k = d$ and let $\{B(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ be a measurable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ taking values in $\{0, 1\}$. We denote the probability space corresponding to B by $(\Omega_B, \mathcal{A}_B, \mathbb{P}_B)$ and expectation w.r.t. $\mathbb{P}_B$ by $\mathbb{E}_B$. Further, we require that

$$c_B := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B(t)\,\mathrm{d}t \in (0, \infty)$$

holds $\mathbb{P}_B$-almost surely. Based on these two processes X and B we define another simple max-stable process Y on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$Y_t := \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n \frac{B_n(t - z_n)}{c_{B_n}} V_t(\omega_n), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{20}$$

where $(U_n, \omega_n, z_n, B_n)$ is a Poisson point process on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega_B$ with intensity $u^{-2}\mathrm{d}u \times \nu \times \nu_d \times \mathbb{P}_B$.

Lemma 12. *Let X and Y be simple max-stable processes as given by (18) for $k = d$ and (20) respectively.*

a) If X is stationary, then Y is stationary.

b) Let X be stationary. The TCF $\chi^{(Y)}$ of the stationary process Y can be expressed in terms of the TCF $\chi^{(X)}$ of X by

$$\chi^{(Y)}(t) = \mathbb{E}_B \left[\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B(z)B(z-t)\mathrm{d}z}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B(z)\mathrm{d}z} \right] \chi^{(X)}(t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Example 13. If the process B on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is chosen to be the indicator function $B(t) := \mathbf{1}_{\|t\| \leq R}$ of the ball B_R^d for a random radius $R \in (0, \infty)$, then the function

$$t \mapsto \mathbb{E}_B \left[\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B(z)B(z-t)\mathrm{d}z}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B(z)\mathrm{d}z} \right] = \mathbb{E}_R \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\|z\| \leq R} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\|z-t\| \leq R}}{\kappa_d R^d} \,\mathrm{d}z$$

depends on $\|t\|$ only and belongs to the class $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}$ corresponding to the random radius R of an M3b process (cf. Table 1).

Remark 14. From [47, Proposition 3.3.1 c)] it is already known that multiplication of TCFs on some space yields again TCFs on the same space. The advantage here is the explicit construction of a max-stable process from a given spectral representation. Note that Lemma 12 generalizes a construction described in [38, p. 39].

4.3 Examples

Let us denote

$$T_r^d := \left\{ \chi : [0,\infty) \to [0,1] \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \chi \text{ continuous radial TCF on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ that is} \\ \text{convex in the radius and vanishes at } \infty \end{array} \right\} = T^d \cap T^1_{\mathrm{M3r}}.$$

First, we provide for each $d \geq 3$ an example of a TCF $\varphi_d \in T_r^d \setminus T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}$. To this end, consider the *tent function*

$$h_1(t) = (1-t)_+ \qquad t \geq 0,$$

which belongs to T^1_{M3r} (cf. (9)). If we apply the turning bands operator, we obtain

$$\varphi_d(t) := \mathrm{TB}_1^d(h_1)(t) \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{21}$$

which is a radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. Proposition 9).

Proposition 15. *a) For $d \geq 1$ we have $\varphi_d \in T_r^d = T^d \cap T^1_{\mathrm{M3r}}$.*

b) For $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq 3$ we have $\varphi_d \notin T^k_{\mathrm{M3r}}$.

c) For $d = 1$ and $d \geq 6$ we have $\varphi_d \notin T^2_{\mathrm{M3r}}$.

Remark 16. In the remaining cases $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ plots of the function $c(t)$ from (32) suggest that φ_d does not belong to T^2_{M3r} either since $c(t)$ is not convex, see Figure 5 in Section 6.

Remark 17. The TCF φ_d decreases linearly on the interval $[0,1]$ (cf. (31))

$$\varphi_d(t) = 1 - \beta_d\, t, \qquad t \in [0,1], \qquad \text{where} \qquad \beta_d = \frac{\Gamma(d/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma((d+1)/2)}. \tag{22}$$

Therefore, the radial function $\chi_\beta(t) := 1 - \beta t$ is an admissible radial TCF on the d-dimensional ball B_r^d of radius r if $\beta \in [0, \beta_d/r]$. This complements results in [15], where it is shown that $\varphi(t) = 1 - \alpha t$ is positive definite on B_r^d if and only if $\alpha \in [0, 2\beta_d/r]$. It seems likely that the bound β_d/r is sharp for $\chi_\beta(t)$ to be a TCF on B_r^d.

Secondly, combining the turning bands operator and the multiplication operation leads to an example of a TCF $\chi_3 \in T_r^3$ that is not contained in any of the classes given in Figure 1 for $d = 3$, and we suppose that our example χ_d satisfies this property also for any other dimension $d \geq 2$. Consider the function

$$\chi_d(t) := \varphi_d(2t)\, h_d(t), \qquad t \geq 0, \tag{23}$$

where φ_d is from (21).

Proposition 18. *a) For $d \geq 1$ we have $\chi_d \in T_r^d \setminus T_{\mathrm{VBR}}^d$.*

b) For $d = 3$ we have $\chi_d \in T_r^d \setminus (T_{\mathrm{VBR}}^d \cup T_{\mathrm{M3r}}^d)$.

5 Parametric families

The considerations above also lead to sharp bounds for some well-known parametric families of positive definite functions to be a TCF, see Table 4.

The first three families (*powered exponential*, *Whittle-Matérn*, *Cauchy* are completely monotone for the parameters given in Table 4 (cf. [30, (1.2),(1.6) and (2.32)] for example), and thus they can be realized by either an MPS process, an M3 process of non-increasing shapes (e.g. M2r or M3b) or by a VBR process (in all cases in any dimension). The *powered error function* is not completely monotone but a member of the class T_{M3r}^∞. That means it can be realized by an M3 process of non-increasing shapes or by a VBR process (both in any dimension), but not by an MPS process. In all of these cases, we may exclude bigger parameters ν because the (right-hand) derivative at 0 vanishes for bigger ν, but the triangle inequality $\eta(s \pm t) = \eta(s) + \eta(t)$ for $\eta := 1 - \chi$ enforces this derivative to be negative in order to be a TCF (cf. [28, Corollary 2] or [40, Theorem 3 (ii)]).

The *truncated power function* is an example of a TCF with compact support. Because a TCF has to be positive definite, this leads to the situation that the valid model parameter depends on the dimension. It is chosen such that the function belongs to T_{M3r}^d (cf. [17, Theorem 6.3]), and thus can be realized by an M3 process of non-increasing shapes on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Because of its compact support the function cannot belong to any of the other classes presented in Figure 1. The bound is sharp in odd dimensions because the function is not positive definite otherwise (cf. [19, Theorem 1 and p. 165]). For even dimensions this choice is valid but possibly not sharp. Again due to [19], we know at least that ν has to satisfy $\nu \geq (d+1)/2$ in order to be positive definite.

Parametric family of cts. radial functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$		**CF for**	**TCF for**
powered exponential	$\exp(-r^\nu)$	$0 < \nu \leq 2$	$0 < \nu \leq 1$
Whittle-Matérn	$2^{1-\nu}\,\Gamma(\nu)^{-1}\,r^\nu\,K_\nu(r)$	$0 < \nu$	$0 < \nu \leq 0.5$
Cauchy	$(1+r^\nu)^{-\beta} \quad \beta > 0$	$0 < \nu \leq 2$	$0 < \nu \leq 1$
powered error function*	$\operatorname{erfc}(r^\nu)$	$0 < \nu \leq 1$	$0 < \nu \leq 1$
truncated power function*	$(1-r)_+^\nu$	$\nu \geq (d+1)/2$	$\nu \geq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1$

Table 4: Parametric families of continuous radially symmetric functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and their sharp parameter bounds for being a correlation function (CF) and for being a tail correlation function (TCF). *The CF bound for the powered error function is sharp if we require validity of the model for all dimensions and the TCF bound for the truncated power function is sharp for odd dimensions.

6 Proofs

The class H_d in [17] is defined as the class of functions φ on $[0,\infty)$ of the form

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{(0,\infty)} h_d(st)\,\mathrm{d}G(s), \tag{24}$$

where G is a distribution function on $(0,\infty)$ (with $G(0+)=0$) and where

$$h_d(t) = \frac{d\,\Gamma(d/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \int_t^1 (1-v^2)_+^{(d-1)/2}\mathrm{d}v. \tag{25}$$

Here the function $h_d(t) = \tilde{h}_d(t)/\tilde{h}_d(0)$ with $\tilde{h}_d$ is the self-convolution of the ball indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{B^d_{0.5}}$ viewed as a radial function. It is shown already in [17] that H_d and the *Mittal-Berman class* V_d coincide (for $d \geq 2$; cf. [17, (40)] and [31]). Here V_d is the class of functions φ on $[0,\infty)$ of the form

$$\varphi(t) = 2\int_{t/2}^\infty \frac{S_{d,u,\theta(t,u)}}{S_{d,u,\pi}}\,p(u)\,\mathrm{d}u, \tag{26}$$

where p is a probability density function on $(0,\infty)$, such that $p(u)/u^{d-1}$ is non-increasing, and $S_{d,u,\theta}$ is the surface area of the sphere $\{x : \|x\| = u\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ intersected by the cone of angle $\theta(t,u) = \arccos(t/(2u))$ (with apex the origin). In what follows, we show that we have

$$H_d = T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}} (= V_d) \qquad \text{for } d \geq 1 \ (d \geq 2). \tag{27}$$

Proof of Proposition 2. We divide the proof into five steps:

1st step $H_d = T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}$ for $d \geq 1$.

By definition, members of the class T^d_{M3b} have the form

$$\chi(\|t\|) = \mathbb{E}_R\left(\frac{1}{\nu_d(B^d_R)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbf{1}_{\|z\|\le R} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{\|z-t\|\le R}\,\mathrm{d}z\right) = \mathbb{E}_R\left(h_d\left(\frac{\|t\|}{2R}\right)\right)$$

for some random radius $R \in (0,\infty)$. The last equality holds because the integral with the minimum $\wedge$ is in fact a convolution for indicator functions. Therefore, the transformation $S := 1/(2R)$ shows that this χ and φ from (24) are equal, when G denotes the law of S on $(0,\infty)$ and vice versa. Hence $T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}} = H_d$ for $d \ge 1$.

2nd step $T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = V_d = H_d$ for $d \ge 2$ and (8) holds for $d \ge 2$.

Members of T^d_{M2r} depend on a shape function $f \ge 0$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\|t\|)\mathrm{d}t = 1$, which is non-increasing as the radius grows, whereas members of V_d depend on a probability density function p on $(0,\infty)$ with $p(u)/u^{d-1}$ non-increasing in $u > 0$. Integration along the radius shows that both functions are in one-to-one corresponcence via

$$f(\|t\|) = \frac{p(\|t\|)}{S_{d,\|t\|,\pi}}.$$

Moreover, since f is non-increasing, this correspondence is compatible with the integration in (26) and the TCF for M2r processes in Table 1. Hence $T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = V_d$ for $d \ge 2$. From [17] we already know that $H_d = V_d$. In particular, f and G as in (24) can be recovered from each other by (44) and (45) in [17] with $n \ge 2$ or, equivalently, f and $H(s) = G(s/2)$ can be recovered from each other by (8) with $d \ge 2$ here. Note that our f corresponds to g in [17].

3rd step $T^1_{\mathrm{M2r}} = H_1$ and (8) holds for $d = 1$.

If $d = 1$, it is straightforward to check that for $\chi \in T^1_{\mathrm{M2r}}$ depending on a single shape function f, we have

$$\chi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \wedge f(z-t)\,\mathrm{d}z = 2\int_{t/2}^{\infty} f(u)\,\mathrm{d}u \tag{28}$$

(similarly to the integration along the radius in (26)). Now, precisely the same proof as the proof of Theorem 5.2. in [17] applies here when we set $n = 1$, $g = f$, $\varphi = \chi$ and omit the term $S_{n,u,\theta}$ in (48) and (49) therein, showing that $T^1_{\mathrm{M2r}} = H_1$. In particular, f and G as in (24) can also be recovered from each other by (44) and (45) in [17] with $n = 1$ or, equivalently, f and $H(s) = G(s/2)$ can be recovered from each other by (8) with $d = 1$ here (where our f corresponds to g therein).

4th step $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} \subset H_d$ for $d \ge 1$.

From the 2nd and 3rd step we know that $T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}} = H_d$ for $d \ge 1$. That means for each (single deterministic) radially symmetric non-increasing shape function $f \ge 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $0 < \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty$ we may define a unique distribution function $G_{f/\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}(s) = H_{f/\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}(2s)$ via (8). We set

$$A(f)_s := \|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}\, G_{f/\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}}(s) \qquad s > 0$$

such that $A(f)$ is non-decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ with $A(f)_{0+}=0$, right-continuous and $A(f)$ has total variation $\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. It is coherent to set $A(0)\equiv 0$. Now, consider a member χ of T^d_{M3r} and its corresponding measurable process $\{f(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$, which satisfies $\mathbb{E}_f(\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)})=1$. Then $\{A(f)_s\}_{s>0}$ defines a non-decreasing, right-continuous process with $\mathbb{E}\,(A(f)_\infty)=1$ and $A(f)_{0+}=0$. Moreover, note that (by the correspondence $T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}}=H_d$)

$$\chi(t)=\mathbb{E}_f\left(\int_0^\infty h_d(st)\,\mathrm{d}A(f)_s\right).$$

Set $G(s):=\mathbb{E}_f A(f)_s$. Then G is also non-decreasing, right-continuous with total variation 1 and with $G(0+)=0$ (by dominated convergence). Finally, we obtain (again by dominated convergence) that

$$\chi(t)=\int_0^\infty h_d(st)\,\mathrm{d}\mathbb{E}_f A(f)_s=\int_0^\infty h_d(st)\,\mathrm{d}G(s)$$

as desired. Hence $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}\subset H_d$.

5th step (Summary) From the previous steps we know that $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}\subset H_d=T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}=T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}}$ for $d\geq 1$. Clearly, $T^d_{\mathrm{M3b}}\subset T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}$ by definition, so that T^d_{M3r},T^d_{M2r},T^d_{M3b},H_d coincide for $d\geq 1$. □

Proof of Proposition 3. a) If φ is completely monotone, then also $-\varphi'$ and $-\varphi'(\sqrt{\cdot})$ will be completely monotone, since $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is a Bernstein function. This shows $T^d_{\mathrm{MPS}}\subset T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}}$. Clearly, $T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}}\subset T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}}$.

b) Clearly, $T^d_{\mathrm{BR}}\subset T^\infty_{\mathrm{VBR}}$, since BR process form a proper subclass of VBR processes. The inclusion $T^d_{\mathrm{VBR}}\subset T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}}$ follows from (12), since $\gamma(t)=8\|t\|^2$ is a valid variogram in each dimension.

c) The variogram $\gamma(t)=8\|t\|^{2\alpha}$ is valid in each dimension for $\alpha\in(0,1]$ (corresponding to fractal Brownian motion). Hence $\mathrm{erfc}(t^\alpha)$ is a valid TCF of a BR process for $\alpha\in(0,1]$. Moreover, the function $\mathrm{erfc}(t^\alpha)$ is completely monotone if and only if $\alpha\leq 0.5$. □

Proposition 19. *Let R, S_λ and $T_\lambda=R\circ S_\lambda$ be the maps from (13),(14) and (15) and set $R_\alpha(x):=R((1-\alpha)x+\alpha)$, $S_{\lambda,\alpha}(x):=S_\lambda((1-\alpha)x+\alpha)$ and $T_{\lambda,\alpha}(x):=T_\lambda((1-\alpha)x+\alpha)$. Then R_α, $S_{\lambda,\alpha}$ and $T_{\lambda,\alpha}$ are continuous on $[-1,1]$ and analytic on $(-1,1)$ for all $\lambda>0$ and $\alpha\in[0,1]$.*

a) The function R_α is absolutely monotone on $[0,1]$ for $\alpha\geq 0.5$.

b) The function $S_{\lambda,\alpha}$ is absolutely monotone on $[0,1]$ for $\lambda(1-\alpha)\leq 8(\mathrm{erf}^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2}))^2$.

c) The function $T_{\lambda,\alpha}=R\circ S_{\lambda,\alpha}$ is absolutely monotone on $[0,1]$ for $\lambda(1-\alpha)\leq 8(\mathrm{erf}^{-1}(1/2))^2$.

To deal with the function $S_{\lambda,\alpha}$ in Proposition 19, we first prove an auxiliary lemma, which might be interesting in its own right.

Lemma 20. *The function* $f(x) = 1 - (\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{x}))^2$ *is completely monotone on* $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. The function f is non-negative, continuous on $[0, \infty)$ and the first derivative of f on $(0, \infty)$ is given by

$$f'(x) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{x}} e^{-x} \qquad x > 0.$$

Now, the functions e^{-x} and $\operatorname{erf}\sqrt{x}/\sqrt{x}$ are completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$ (cf. [30, (1.2) and Corollary to Theorem 5]). Hence, $-f'$ is completely monotone, which shows that f is completely monotone on $[0, \infty)$. □

Proof of Proposition 19. It can be seen directly that the functions R_α, $S_{\lambda,\alpha}$, $T_{\lambda,\alpha}$ are continuous on $[-1, 1]$ and analytic on $(-1, 1)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

a) Using the series expansion of the cosine function, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \pi^{2n} \frac{(1-x)^n}{2^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2^n (2n)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k (-1)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{2n+2k}}{2^{n+k}(2n+2k)!} \binom{n+k}{k} (-1)^n \\ &= R(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k \frac{\pi^{2k}}{2^{2k} k!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2^n (2n)!} \frac{1}{(2n+2k-1)(2n+2k-3)\dots(2n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the coefficients

$$a_k := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2^n (2n)!} \frac{1}{(2n+2k-1)(2n-2k-3)\cdots(2n+1)}$$

are non-negative for $k \geq 1$: Since this series representing a_k converges absolutely, we may partition by even ($n = 2\ell$) and odd ($n = 2\ell + 1$) coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{4\ell}}{2^{2\ell}(4\ell)!} \frac{1}{(4\ell+2k-1)\cdots(4\ell+1)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{4\ell+2}}{2^{2\ell+1}(4\ell+2)!} \frac{1}{(4\ell+2k+1)\cdots(4\ell+3)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^{4\ell}}{2^{2\ell}(4\ell)!} \frac{1}{(4\ell+2k-1)\cdots(4\ell+3)} \left[\frac{1}{4\ell+1} - \frac{\pi^2/2}{(4\ell+2)(4\ell+1)} \frac{1}{4\ell+2k+1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, the expression in the brackets is positive since $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 0$. Thus, $a_k > 0$ for $k \geq 1$. In particular, $R(x) - R(0)$ and $R_\alpha(x) - R_\alpha(0)$ are absolutely monotone on $[0, 1]$. If $\alpha \geq 0.5$, then $R_\alpha(0) \geq 0$.

b) Lemma 20 tells us that $f(x) = 1 - (\operatorname{erf}(\sqrt{x}))^2$ is completely monotone on $[0, \infty)$. Now, $S_\lambda(x) = 2f\left(\lambda(1-x)/8\right) - 1$. Hence, the k-th derivative for $k \geq 1$ satisfies

$$S_\lambda^{(k)}(x) = 2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{8}\right)^k (-1)^k f^{(k)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{8}(1-x)\right) \geq 0.$$

In particular, all but eventually the 0-th Taylor coefficient $S_\lambda(0)$ are non-negative, and $S_\lambda(0)$ is non-negative if and only if $\lambda \leq 8(\mathrm{erf}^{-1}(1/\sqrt{2}))^2$. Note that $S_{\lambda,\alpha} = S_{\lambda(1-\alpha)}$.

c) Since $T_\lambda = R \circ S_\lambda$ and $T'_\lambda = (R' \circ S_\lambda)S'_\lambda$, it follows from the proof of a) and b) that all but eventually the 0-th Taylor coefficient $T_\lambda(0)$ are non-negative, and $T_\lambda(0)$ is non-negative if and only if $\lambda \leq 8(\mathrm{erf}^{-1}(1/2))^2$. Note that $T_{\lambda,\alpha} = T_{\lambda(1-\alpha)}$. □

Proof of Proposition 5. Since convex combinations, products and (pointwise) limits of correlation functions are again correlation functions, a map $B : [-1,1] \to [-1,1]$ transforms correlation functions again into correlation functions if B is continuous on $[-1,1]$ and analytic on $(-1,1)$, such that the respective Taylor series at 0 has only non-negative coefficients. Such functions are absolutely monotone on $[0,1]$ and conversely, the Taylor series representation at 0 of an absolutely monotone function on $[0,1]$ extends to $[-1,1]$. So the assertion follows from Proposition 19 with $B \in \{R_\alpha, S_{\lambda,\alpha}, T_{\lambda,\alpha}\}$. □

Proof of Proposition 7. a) Cf. the proof of Proposition 3c) and note that the function $\mathrm{erfc}(t^\alpha)$ belongs to T^∞_{M3r} if and only if $\alpha \in (0,1]$.

b) The class $T^d_{\mathrm{M3r}} = H_d$ naturally contains functions with compact support, e.g. the function h_d (cf. (24)), whereas T^d_{VBR} cannot contain such functions. To see this, recall (11) and observe that members of T^∞_{M3r} are scale mixtures of erfc that cannot have compact support. Thus, the involved variogram in (12) would have to take the value ∞ outside a compact region.

c) Consider the simple erfc-mixture

$$\chi(\|t\|) = 0.25 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(\|t\|) + 0.75 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(5\|t\|) \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Surely, χ is a member of T^∞_{M3r} (cf. (11)). Suppose that there is a BR process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to a variogram $\tilde{\gamma}$ such that its TCF $\tilde{\chi}$ coincides with χ. We will show now that this cannot be true for any dimension d. Otherwise,

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\|t\|) = 8\left[\mathrm{erfc}^{-1}\left(0.25 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(\|t\|) + 0.75 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(5\|t\|)\right)\right]^2 \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a variogram for any dimension d. In particular, $\tilde{\gamma}(\|\cdot\|)$ is for any dimension d a continuous negative definite function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By [2, 5.1.8] it follows that the function

$$\psi(r) = \left[\mathrm{erfc}^{-1}\left(0.25 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(\sqrt{r}) + 0.75 \cdot \mathrm{erfc}(5\sqrt{r})\right)\right]^2 \qquad r \in [0,\infty)$$

is a (continuous) negative definite function on $[0,\infty)$ in the semigroup sense and obviously $\psi(r) \geq 0$. Hence $\psi(r)$ is a Bernstein function (cf. [2, 4.4.3]). However, the second derivative of $\psi(r)$ has a local minimum. So, the assertion fails and our assumption must be wrong. That means there is a dimension d_0 such that the above $\chi \in T^\infty_{\mathrm{M3r}}$ cannot be realized as a TCF of a BR process for any dimension $d \geq d_0$. □

Lemma 21. *Let $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq k_3$.*

a) The composition map

$$V_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^{k_2}) \times V_{k_2}(\mathbb{R}^{k_3}) \to V_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^{k_3}) \qquad (A,B) \mapsto B \circ A$$

is continuous.

b) If $B \sim \sigma_{k_2}^{k_3}$ is uniformly distributed on $V_{k_2}(\mathbb{R}^{k_3})$ and A is an independent (Borel-measurable) random variable with values in $V_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^{k_2})$, then the composition $B \circ A$ will also be uniformly distributed $B \circ A \sim \sigma_{k_1}^{k_3}$.

c) The turning bands operator is compatible with compositions

$$\mathrm{TB}_{k_1}^{k_2} \circ \mathrm{TB}_{k_2}^{k_3} = \mathrm{TB}_{k_1}^{k_3}. \tag{29}$$

Proof of Lemma 21. a) The composition of matrices is continuous and here just restricted to a subspace.

b) Let f be a continuous function on $V_{k_1}(\mathbb{R}^{k_3})$, then (by dominated convergence) the function $g(b) := \mathbb{E}_A(f(b \circ A))$ will also be continuous on $V_{k_2}(\mathbb{R}^{k_3})$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}_B(g(G^{-1}B)) = \mathbb{E}_B(g(B))$ for all $G \in \mathrm{O}(k_3)$, since $B \sim \sigma_{k_2}^{k_3}$. Thus, we also have for $G \in \mathrm{O}(k_3)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}f(G^{-1} \circ B \circ A) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(f(G^{-1} \circ B \circ A)|B)) = \mathbb{E}(g(G^{-1}B)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(g(B)) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(f(B \circ A)|B)) = \mathbb{E}f(B \circ A).\end{aligned}$$

c) The assertion follows from part b). □

Proof of Lemma 8. Let M be a non-empty finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in (0,\infty)^M$. The finite-dimensional distributions of Y are determined by

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(Y_t \le x_t,\, t \in M) = \int_{V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t \in M} \frac{V_{A^{\mathrm{T}}t}(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\, \sigma_k^d(\mathrm{d}A).$$

a) If X is stationary, then

$$\int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t \in M} \frac{V_{A^{\mathrm{T}}(t+h)}(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega) = \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t \in M} \frac{V_{A^{\mathrm{T}}t}(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega),$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $A \in V_k(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since A is linear.

b) This follows since σ_k^d is $\mathrm{O}(d)$-invariant.

c) The assertion follows from (3). □

Proof of Proposition 9. In view of Lemma 8 we need to show that continuous TCFs on $\mathbb{R}^k$ coincide with the TCFs of stochastically continuous processes on $\mathbb{R}^k$. Therefore, let χ be a continuous TCF on $\mathbb{R}^k$ and let X be a corresponding stationary max-stable process. Let θ be the extremal coefficient function (ECF) of X as in [48] and let X^* be the associated Tawn-Molchanov process as in [48, Theorem 8]. Note that $\chi(h) = 2 - \theta(\{h, o\})$. By construction, X^* is also stationary and has TCF χ. Additionally, X^* is stochastically continuous due to [48, Theorem 25]. □

Proof of Lemma 12. Let M be a non-empty finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in (0,\infty)^M$. The finite-dimensional distributions of Y are determined by

$$-\log \mathbb{P}(Y_t \le x_t,\, t \in M) = \mathbb{E}_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t \in M} \frac{B(t-z)V_t(\omega)}{c_B x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\, \mathrm{d}z.$$

a) If X is stationary, then

$$\int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t\in M} \frac{B(t-z)V_{t+h}(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega) = \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t\in M} \frac{B(t-z)V_t(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $B \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{R}^d}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t\in M} \frac{B((t+h)-z)V_{t+h}(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\,\mathrm{d}z \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_\Omega \left(\bigvee_{t\in M} \frac{B(t-z)V_t(\omega)}{x_t} \right) \nu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\,\mathrm{d}z \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all integrable functions $B \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{R}^d}$.

b) The assertion follows from (3) and the fact that $b_1 v_1 \wedge b_2 v_2 = b_1 b_2 (v_1 \wedge v_2)$ for real numbers b_1, b_2, v_1, v_2 with $b_i \in \{0,1\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. □

In the sequel, we shall often write H_d as in [17] instead of T^d_{M3r}, T^d_{M2r} or T^d_{M3b}, since all classes coincide (see (27)).

Lemma 22. *For all $1 \le k \le d$ The turning bands operator TB^d_k transfers members of the class H_1 into members of H_1.*

Proof. The class H_1 is the class of continuous functions h on $[0,\infty)$ that are convex and satisfy $h(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = 0$. All properties are preserved under TB^d_k. For continuity and $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t) = 0$ use the dominated convergence theorem. Preservation of convexity follows from $\mathrm{TB}^d_k(h)(r) = \mathbb{E}_A(h(rc(A)))$ for $r \ge 0$ with $A \sim \sigma^d_k$ and $c(A) = \|A^{\mathrm{T}}(1,0,\ldots,0)^{\mathrm{T}}\|$. □

Proof of Proposition 15. A priori it is clear that $\varphi_1 = h_1$ does not belong to H_k for $k \ge 2$ [17].

a) Because of Proposition 9 the function φ_d is a radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Lemma 22 shows that $\varphi_d = \mathrm{TB}^d_1(h_1)$ belongs to H_1.

b) By [15, equation (6)] φ_d can be expressed as

$$\varphi_d(t) = \frac{2\,\Gamma(d/2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma((d-1)/2)} \int_0^1 h_1(tw)(1-w^2)^{(d-3)/2}\mathrm{d}w. \tag{30}$$

Thus, we have for $d \ge 2$ that

$$-\varphi_d'\left(\sqrt{t}\right) = \beta_d \begin{cases} 1 & t \le 1 \\ 1-(1-1/t)^{(d-1)/2} & t > 1 \end{cases}, \tag{31}$$

where β_d is the constant from (22). Clearly, $-\varphi_d'(\sqrt{t})$ is not convex. Therefore, one of the conditions of Theorem 3.1 in [17] (that is necessary to belong to the class H_3) is not fulfilled.



Figure 5: The function $c(t)/\beta_d$ with $c(t)$ from (32) and β_d as in (22) is plotted for $d \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ (solid line). Additionally the dashed straight line indicates that the respective functions are not convex (cf. Remark 16).

c) We verify that one of the conditions of Theorem 3.3 in [17] (that is necessary to belong to the class H_2) is not fulfilled: Namely, we show that for all $d \geq 6$ the function

$$c(t) := \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{v}{t-v}} \left(-\varphi_d'\left(1/\sqrt{v}\right)\right) \mathrm{d}v = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{w}{1-w}} \left(-\varphi_d'\left(1/\sqrt{tw}\right) \cdot t\right) \mathrm{d}w \tag{32}$$

is not convex. From (31) we see that

$$-\varphi_d'\left(1/\sqrt{v}\right) = \beta_d \begin{cases} 1-(1-v)^{(d-1)/2} & v < 1 \\ 1 & v \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Since $d \geq 6$ we can compute the second derivative of c at 1:

$$\begin{aligned} c''(1) &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{w}{1-w}} \cdot \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\right|_{t=1} \left(-\varphi_d'\left(1/\sqrt{tw}\right) \cdot t\right) \mathrm{d}w \\ &= \beta_d(d-1) \int_0^1 w^{3/2}(1-w)^{(d-6)/2}(1-w\,(d+1)/4)\mathrm{d}w \\ &= \beta_d(d-1)\left(B\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{d-4}{2}\right) - \frac{d+1}{4}\, B\left(\frac{7}{2}, \frac{d-4}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= -\beta_d(d-1)\,\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(d/2-2)}{16\,\Gamma((d+1)/2)} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here $B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{(x-1)}(1-t)^{y-1}\mathrm{d}t$ denotes the Beta function. Since $c''(1)$ is negative, the function c cannot be convex. This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 23. *If $f, g \in H_1$ then the product also belongs to this class $fg \in H_1$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [17, Lemma 4.7] (or [52, Lemma 2]) which states that if f and g are non-negative, non-increasing and convex on an interval, then the product fg is also non-negative, non-increasing and convex there. □

Proof of Proposition 18. a) From Proposition 15 we know that $\varphi_d(2t)$ is a radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$ that belongs to H_1. Since $h_d(t)$ belongs to H_d it follows from Example 13 that the product $\chi_d(t) = \varphi_d(2t)h_d(t)$ is a radial TCF on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover $h_d(t)$ also belongs to $H_d \subset H_1$ and therefore $\chi_d \in H_1$ due to Lemma 23. However, $\chi_d \notin T^d_{\mathrm{VBR}}$ because of its compact support (cf. Propostion 7 b).

b) It suffices to show that the function

$$f(t) := -\chi_3'(\sqrt{t}) = -2\varphi_3'(\sqrt{4t})h_3(\sqrt{t}) + \varphi_3(\sqrt{4t})(-h_3'(\sqrt{t}))$$

is not convex, because then one of the conditions of Theorem 3.1 in [17] (that is necessary to belong to the class H_3) is not fulfilled. From (25), (30) and (31) we see that for $t \in [0,1]$

$$h_3(\sqrt{t}) = \frac{1}{2}(2 - 3t^{1/2} + t^{3/2}), \qquad -h_3'(\sqrt{t}) = \frac{3}{2}(1-t),$$
$$\varphi_3(\sqrt{4t}) = \begin{cases} 1-\sqrt{t} & t \le 1/4 \\ 1/(4\sqrt{t}) & t \ge 1/4 \end{cases}, \qquad -2\varphi_3'(\sqrt{4t}) = \begin{cases} 1 & t \le 1/4 \\ 1/(4t) & t \ge 1/4 \end{cases}.$$

Thus, $f(t)$ is a decreasing function on $[0,1]$ with the following left-hand and right-hand derivative at $1/4$

$$\lim_{t \uparrow 1/4} f'(t) = -3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{t \downarrow 1/4} f'(t) = -17/4.$$

Hence, f cannot be convex in a neighbourhood of $1/4$. □

6.1 Derivation of expressions in tables

Lemma 24. *Let $d \ge 3$ be odd and $\varphi \in H_d = T^d_{\mathrm{M2r}}$. Let G be a corresponding distribution function as in (24) in the definition of the class H_d and let f be a non-increasing shape function as in the definition of the class T^d_{M2r}. Set $k := (n-1)/2$ and define the (right-hand) derivative*

$$\lambda(t) := (-1)^k \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}t^k}\left[-\varphi'\left(\sqrt{t}\right)\right] \qquad t \ge 0$$

Then G and f can be recovered from φ by

$$G(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{d\,\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^s \frac{1}{t^d}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad f(u) = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{d-1} \lambda\left(4u^2\right).$$

Proof. The recovery of G is precisely [17, Theorem 3.2]. By (8) with $G(s) = H(2s)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &= \frac{1}{\kappa_d} \int_0^{1/(2u)} (2s)^d \mathrm{d}G(s) = \frac{\sqrt{2^d\pi}}{\kappa_d\, d\,\Gamma(d/2)} \int_0^{1/(2u)} \mathrm{d}\lambda\left(\frac{1}{s^2}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/(2u)} \left(\lambda(4u^2) - \lim_{x\to\infty} \lambda(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$

But $\lim_{x\to\infty} \lambda(x)$ necessarily vanishes, since $\lambda(t) = -a'(t)$ for a non-negative (i.e. bounded from below), non-increasing and convex function $a(t)$ due to [17, (22)]. □

Proof of Table 2. Let G denote the distribution function of $1/(2R)$. If the density g of G exists, then the density k of $2R$ is given by $k(s) = g(1/s)/s^2$. In what follows, we show how to recover G, its density $g = G'$ and the shape function f:
In case $d = 1$ we refer to [17, (18)] for the recovery of G and $g = G'$. The recovery of f follows from (28). In case $d = 3$ the previous Lemma 24 can be applied to $d = 3$ and $\varphi = \chi$, where we abbreviate $\lambda_\chi(t) = 2\lambda(1/t^2) = t\chi''(1/t)$. In case $d = 2$ we additionally assume that $\chi \in H_5$, such that

$$(-1)^k \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}t^k} \left[-\chi'\left(\sqrt{t}\right)\right]$$

exists for $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and is non-negative, non-increasing and convex for $k \in \{0, 1\}$ (cf. [17, p. 96]). This requirement ensures that we can apply the monotone convergence theorem iteratively when differentiating within the following integral (33). A priori we know from [17, Theorem 3.4] that

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{(0,r)} \frac{1}{s} \,\mathrm{d}\mu(s^2) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mu(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{v}{t-v}} \left[-\chi'(1/\sqrt{v})\right] \,\mathrm{d}v.$$

Now $\chi \in H_5$ ensures that $\mu'(t)$ exists by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'(t) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \int_0^t \sqrt{\frac{v}{t-v}} \left[-\chi'(1/\sqrt{v})\right] \,\mathrm{d}v = \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \left(t \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{w}{1-w}} \left[-\chi'(1/\sqrt{wt})\right] \,\mathrm{d}w \right) \\ &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{\frac{w}{1-w}} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \left[-t\,\chi'(1/\sqrt{wt})\right] \right) \mathrm{d}w, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \left[-t\,\chi'(1/\sqrt{wt})\right] = \frac{1}{4\,t\,\sqrt{wt}} \left[\chi''\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{wt}}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{wt}} \chi'''\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{wt}}\right) \right].$$

The substitutions $v = wt$ and $v = u^2$ give

$$\mu'(t) = \frac{1}{2\,t^2} \int_0^{\sqrt{t}} \sqrt{\frac{u^2}{t-u^2}} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \lambda_\chi(u) = u\chi''(1/u).$$

Hence G has a density g with

$$g(s) = \mu'(s^2) = \frac{1}{2\,s^4} \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{1}{(s/u)^2 - 1}} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u).$$

Fubini's theorem and the substitution $s = 1/t$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &= \int_0^r g(s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^r \frac{1}{2\,s^4} \int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{1}{(s/u)^2 - 1}} \,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u) \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \left(\int_u^r \frac{1}{s^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^2 - u^2}} \,\mathrm{d}s \right) u \,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^r \left(\int_{1/r}^{1/u} \frac{t^3}{\sqrt{1 - t^2u^2}} \,\mathrm{d}t \right) u \,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u). \end{aligned}$$

Applying [20, p. 96 2.264.4] we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r \left(\frac{1}{3u^2r^2}+\frac{2}{3u^4}\right)\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{u^2}{r^2}}\right) u\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{6\,r^3}\int_0^r \left(1+2\left(\frac{r}{u}\right)^2\right)\left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{u}\right)^2-1}\right)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(u). \end{aligned}$$

To compute the shape function f we apply (8) with $G(s) = H(2s)$

$$\frac{\pi}{4} f\left(\frac{1}{2u}\right) = \int_0^u s^2 g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u \frac{1}{s^2}\int_0^s \sqrt{\frac{1}{(s/t)^2-1}}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

By Fubini's theorem and the substitution $s = 1/r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{4} f\left(\frac{1}{2u}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u \left(\int_t^u \frac{1}{s^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{s^2-t^2}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right) t\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u \left(\int_{1/u}^{1/t} \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2t^2}}\,\mathrm{d}r\right) t\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Applying [20, p. 96 2.264.2] gives

$$\frac{\pi}{4} f\left(\frac{1}{2u}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^u \left(\frac{\sqrt{u^2-t^2}}{u\,t^2}\right) t\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t) = \frac{1}{2\,u}\int_0^u \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)^2-1}\right)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t).$$

Finally, we replace u by $1/(2u)$ and obtain

$$f(u) = \frac{4\,u}{\pi}\int_0^{1/(2u)} \left(\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2ut}\right)^2-1}\right)\,\mathrm{d}\lambda_\chi(t)$$

as desired. □

Lemma 25. *Let $g(s) = \sqrt{\pi}\,f(s^2)$ be a probability density on $(0,\infty)$ and let $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$ be such that $-\varphi'\left(\sqrt{\cdot}\right)$ is the Laplace transform of f in the following sense*

$$-\varphi'\left(\sqrt{t}\right) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} f(r)\,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Then

$$\varphi\left(t\right) = \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erfc}\left(st\right) g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Proof. (analogously to [17, p. 104]) Replacing t by t^2 and r by s^2 yields

$$-\varphi'\left(t\right) = \int_0^\infty 2se^{-s^2t^2} f(s^2)\,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left[-\operatorname{erfc}(st)\right] g(s)\,\mathrm{d}s.$$

Applying Fubini's theorem when integrating w.r.t. t gives

$$\varphi(0) - \varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty \left[\operatorname{erfc}(0) - \operatorname{erfc}(st)\right] g(s)\mathrm{d}s,$$

which entails the claim, since g is a density on $(0,\infty)$ and $\varphi(0) = 1$. □

Proof of Table 3. We apply Lemma 25 and derive this table from known Laplace transforms in [36] using (in this order) equations [p. 964 5.3 (11)], [p. 964 5.3 (12), p. 963 5.2 (12) and p. 962 5.1 (26)], [p. 963 5.3 (1)] and [p. 963 5.3. (3) with $\nu = 1.5$] therein. □

Acknowledgment Financial support for K. Strokorb by the German Research Foundation DFG through the Research Training Group 1023 and for M. Schlather by Volkswagen Stiftung within the 'WEX-MOP' project is gratefully acknowledged.

References

[1] J. Beirlant, Y. Goegebeur, J. Teugels, and J. Segers. *Statistics of Extremes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 2004.

[2] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel. *Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups*, volume 100 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, NY, 1984.

[3] C. Berg, J. Mateu, and E. Porcu. The Dagum family of isotropic correlation functions. *Bernoulli*, 14(4):1134–1149, 2008.

[4] J.-P. Chilès and P. Delfiner. *Geostatistics*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Applied Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1999.

[5] S. Coles, J. Heffernan, and J. Tawn. Dependence measures for extreme value analyses. *Extremes*, 2(4):339–365, 1999.

[6] D. Cooley, P. Naveau, and P. Poncet. Variograms for spatial max-stable random fields. In *Dependence in Probability and Statistics*, volume 187 of *Lecture Notes in Statist.*, pages 373–390. Springer, New York, 2006.

[7] H. Cramér and M. R. Leadbetter. *Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes. Sample Function Properties and Their Applications*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1967.

[8] R. A. Davis and T. Mikosch. The extremogram: a correlogram for extreme events. *Bernoulli*, 15(4):977–1009, 2009.

[9] L. de Haan. A spectral representation for max-stable processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 12(4):1194–1204, 1984.

[10] S. Engelke, Z. Kabluchko, and M. Schlather. Maxima of independent, non-identically distributed Gaussian vectors. *arXiv1205.0947v1*, 2012.

[11] S. Engelke, A. Malinowski, M. Oesting, and M. Schlather. Representations of max-stable processes based on single extreme events. *arXiv1209.2303v1*, 2012.

[12] M. Falk. On the generation of a multivariate extreme value distribution with prescribed tail dependence parameter matrix. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 75(4):307–314, 2005.

[13] V. Fasen, C. Klüppelberg, and M. Schlather. High-level dependence in time series models. *Extremes*, 13(1):1–33, 2010.

[14] J. Geffroy. Contribution à la théorie des valeurs extrêmes. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris*, 7/8:37–185, 1958/1959.

[15] T. Gneiting. Isotropic correlation functions on d-dimensional balls. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 31(3):625–631, 1999.

[16] T. Gneiting. On the derivatives of radial positive definite functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 236(1):86–93, 1999.

[17] T. Gneiting. Radial positive definite functions generated by Euclid's hat. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 69(1):88–119, 1999.

[18] T. Gneiting, Z. Sasvári, and M. Schlather. Analogies and correspondences between variograms and covariance functions. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 33:617–630, 2001.

[19] B. I. Golubov. On Abel-Poisson type and Riesz means. *Anal. Math.*, 7(3):161–184, 1981.

[20] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, seventh edition, 2007.

[21] P. E. Jupp and K. V. Mardia. Maximum likelihood estimators for the matrix von Mises-Fisher and Bingham distributions. *Ann. Statist.*, 7(3):599–606, 1979.

[22] Z. Kabluchko. Spectral representations of sum- and max-stable processes. *Extremes*, 12(4):401–424, 2009.

[23] Z. Kabluchko and M. Schlather. Ergodic properties of max-infinitely divisible processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 120(3):281–295, 2010.

[24] Z. Kabluchko, M. Schlather, and L. de Haan. Stationary max-stable fields associated to negative definite functions. *Ann. Probab.*, 37(5):2042–2065, 2009.

[25] Z. Kabluchko and S. Stoev. Minimal spectral representations of infinitely divisible and max-infinitely divisible processes. *arXiv1207.4983v1*, 2012.

[26] C. Lantuéjoul, J.-N. Bacro, and L. Bel. Storm processes and stochastic geometry. *Extremes*, 14(4):413–428, 2011.

[27] K. V. Mardia and C. G. Khatri. Uniform distribution on a Stiefel manifold. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 7(3):468–473, 1977.

[28] K. Z. Markov. On the "triangular" inequality in the theory of two-phase random media. *Annuaire Univ. Sofia Fac. Math. Inform.*, 89(1-2):159–166 (1998), 1995.

[29] G. Matheron. The intrinsic random functions and their applications. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 5:439–468, 1973.
[30] K. S. Miller and S. G. Samko. Completely monotonic functions. *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.*, 12(4):389–402, 2001.
[31] Y. Mittal. A class of isotropic covariance functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 64(2):517–538, 1976.
[32] I. Molchanov and K. Stucki. Stationarity of multivariate particle systems. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(6):2272–2285, 2013.
[33] L. Nachbin. *The Haar Integral*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Huntington, N.Y., 1976.
[34] P. Naveau, A. Guillou, D. Cooley, and J. Diebolt. Modelling pairwise dependence of maxima in space. *Biometrika*, 96(1):1–17, 2009.
[35] M. Oesting and M. Schlather. Conditional sampling for max-stable processes with a mixed moving maxima representation. *arXiv1202.5023v2*, 2012.
[36] A. D. Polyanin and A. V. Manzhirov. *Handbook of Integral Equations*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, second edition, 2008.
[37] S. I. Resnick. *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes*. Series in *Operations Research and Financial Engineering*. Springer, NY, 1987.
[38] M. Schlather. Models for stationary max-stable random fields. *Extremes*, 5(1):33–44, 2002.
[39] M. Schlather. Construction of covariance functions and unconditional simulation of random fields. In *Advances and Challenges in Space-time Modelling of Natural Events*, volume 207 of *Lecture Notes in Statist.*, pages 25–54. Springer, Berlin and Heidelberg, 2012.
[40] M. Schlather and J.A. Tawn. A dependence measure for multivariate and spatial extreme values: Properties and inference. *Biometrika*, 90:139–156, 2003.
[41] Martin Schlather, Alexander Malinowski, Marco Oesting, Daphne Boecker, Kirstin Strokorb, Sebastian Engelke, Johannes Martini, Felix Ballani, Peter Menck, Sebastian Gross, Ulrike Ober, Katharina Burmeister, Juliane Manitz, Richard Singleton, Ben Pfaff, and R Core Team. *RandomFields: Simulation and Analysis of Random Fields*, 2014. R package version 3.0.13.
[42] R. Schneider and W. Weil. *Stochastic and Integral Geometry*. Probability and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
[43] M. Sibuya. Bivariate extreme statistics. I. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. Tokyo*, 11:195–210, 1960.
[44] R.L. Smith. Max-stable processes and spatial extremes. *Unpublished Manuscript*, 1990.
[45] S. A. Stoev. On the ergodicity and mixing of max-stable processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 118(9):1679–1705, 2008.
[46] S. A. Stoev and M. S. Taqqu. Extremal stochastic integrals: a parallel between max-stable processes and α-stable processes. *Extremes*, 8(4):237–266 (2006), 2005.
[47] K. Strokorb. *Characterization and construction of max-stable processes*. PhD thesis, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 2013.
[48] K. Strokorb and M. Schlather. An exceptional max-stable process fully parameterized by its extremal coefficients. *Bernoulli*, 2013. Accepted.
[49] J. Tiago de Oliveira. Structure theory of bivariate extremes; extensions. *Est. Mat. Estat. Econ.*, 7:165–95, 1962/63.
[50] Y. Wang, P. Roy, and S. A. Stoev. Ergodic properties of sum- and max-stable stationary random fields via null and positive group actions. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(1):206–228, 2013.
[51] D.V. Widder. *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton mathematical series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.
[52] R. E. Williamson. Multiply monotone functions and their Laplace transforms. *Duke Math. J.*, 23:189–207, 1956.
[53] W. zu Castell. Recurrence relations for radial positive definite functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 271(1):108–123, 2002.